

Tekst 9**I write about thinking in no language at all**

*From Andrew Fogg,
Cambridge, UK*

- 1 David Werdegar suggests we should redefine knowledge to include the non-language-based thought processes that are presumably used by animals but not, he supposes, by humans (Letters, 29 April). I suggest that the perception of thinking in language arises in humans simply because, from a very early age, we know we are likely to have to verbalise a thought to share it with someone else, and so the brain just does it automatically all the time, 35
- 2 I don't believe my personal stock of knowledge is affected by which language I'm speaking. I recall seeing some magnificent riveted girder-work and thinking, in German, since I'd been over there for some weeks, "that's a fine piece of... ummm... er...." The concept was completely clear in my mind, in no language at all.
- 3 And a picture often is worth a thousand words.

NewScientist, 2017

Tekst 9 I write about thinking in no language at all

- 1p 35 Which of the following fits the gap in paragraph 1?
- A enabling even young children to make use of sentence patterns.
 - B evoking the processes needed for language comprehension.
 - C giving the appearance of needing language to think.
 - D providing a solid basis for abstract thinking.